

# Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

---

**Form Status: Approved**

<b>Overall Rating:</b>	Highly Satisfactory
<b>Decision:</b>	Approve: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
<b>Portfolio/Project Number:</b>	00107731
<b>Portfolio/Project Title:</b>	Desarrollo Urbano Sostenible en San Salvador
<b>Portfolio/Project Date:</b>	2020-06-08 / 2025-06-08

**Strategic****Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.
- 2: *The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.*
- 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

**Evidence:**

El proyecto promoverá una ruta de desarrollo sostenible y bajo en emisiones en el Área Metropolitana de San Salvador. Desde esta perspectiva, contribuye al logro de cambios más amplios para fomentar un desarrollo sostenible a nivel nacional. El proyecto presenta una teoría de cambio que reconoce tanto debilidades en materia de planificación del desarrollo territorial en El Salvador, obstáculos en la coordinación interinstitucional, así como la falta de experiencia a nivel país para diseñar soluciones modernas para la movilidad. Dado que en el plazo del proyecto no se pueden superar todas estas limitaciones, la estrategia del proyecto identifica al Consejo Nacional de Energía (CNE) como socio clave, tomando en cuenta su mandato, su liderazgo en la puesta en marcha del Plan Nacional de Energía 2010-2024, su modelo de gobernanza que integra a diversos actores relevantes a las acciones del proyecto en la Junta del Directiva del CNE.

El proyecto se estructura en torno a los siguientes tres componentes: marco habilitante para desarrollo urbano bajo en emisiones, promoción de medidas de eficiencia energética para la movilidad en el AMSS, y ruta de desarrollo con enfoque de eficiencia energética en las municipalidades del AMSS. El proyecto promoverá la puesta en marcha de pilotos en diversos municipios del AMSS con la expectativa de que sean escalados en otros espacios urbanos.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan<sup>1</sup>](#) and adapts at least one [Signature Solution<sup>2</sup>](#). The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan<sup>4</sup>](#). The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

**Evidence:**

El proyecto responde al Output 2.1.1 del Plan Estratégico de PNUD ("Low emission and climate resilient objectives addressed in national, subnational and sectoral development plans and policies to promote economic diversification and green growth."); de igual manera, se adapta a una de las soluciones emblemáticas enunciadas en dicho plan (solución emblemática 5, cierre de la brecha energética). Indicadores de resultados incluyen: toneladas métricas de emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero evitadas/educadas; y número de entidades que toman medidas integrales de desarrollo bajas en carbono.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNDAF Results Group Workplan/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for global projects/strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- Yes
- No

**Evidence:**

El proyecto responde al Output 2.6 del CPD: "se han adoptado medidas para el uso de energía limpia y eficiente y tecnologías sostenibles".

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

### Relevant

Quality Rating: **Satisfactory**

4. Do the project target groups leave furthest behind?

- 3: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.
- 2: *The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.*
- 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

#### Evidence:

El proyecto prioriza sus intervenciones en el Área Metropolitana de San Salvador e identifica como grupos o metas a los siguientes: formuladores de política pública, alcaldes y concejos municipales, funcionarios de los sectores de transporte y energía, profesionales en el rubro de energía y expertos en movilidad, emprendedores y organizaciones de la sociedad civil, incluyendo organizaciones informales.

Entre los beneficiarios directos del proyecto se incluyen a usuarios del transporte público y SITRAMS S, peatones y ciclistas, así como usuarios de edificios públicos. Especial atención se presta a la calidad de los servicios de iluminación y transporte para mejorar las condiciones de seguridad para mujeres que utilizan el transporte público.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.
- 2: *The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.*
- 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

#### Evidence:

El proyecto retoma experiencias en el desarrollo de portafolios de eficiencia energética en otros países e incorpora medidas para superar barreras identificadas en otros proyectos, tales como la creación de grupos de trabajo en el CNE para apoyar a las municipalidades. El proyecto también incorpora ajustes a su formulación con respecto a la propuesta inicial luego de la ronda de consultas realizadas con actores locales.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.*
- 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

#### Evidence:

El PNUD cuenta con ventajas para desempeñar los roles asignados en el proyecto, debido a su conocimiento del entorno nacional, su experiencia previa en proyectos de eficiencia energética en colaboración con CNE, su poder de convocatoria de actores provenientes de diversos sectores, sus fortalezas en las funciones de monitoreo y supervisión, así como la posibilidad de establecer alianzas para la incidencia y la gestión del conocimiento a nivel nacional y regional. Además, como parte de la fase del diseño del proyecto, PNUD ha identificado a diversos socios con los que trabajará para la implementación de este proyecto, quienes han confirmado compromiso con el proyecto y su co-financiamiento.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

#### Principled

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

- 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)*
- 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.

#### Evidence:

El proyecto considera elementos generales del enfoque de derechos humanos, así como aspectos implícitos asociados al derecho a la ciudad, incluyendo la priorización de la participación significativa en los asuntos de la ciudad y el goce efectivo de los derechos humanos en los contextos urbanos (particularmente en lo que a movilidad urbana segura se refiere).

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

- 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)
- 2: *A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.



**Evidence:**

El proyecto incorpora en sus anexos un análisis general de género, sobre la base de fuentes secundarias de información, el cual contiene apartado específico sobre género y seguridad en el transporte público. Así, el proyecto reconoce la existencia de problemáticas diferenciadas de género en el uso, acceso y seguridad en el transporte público en El Salvador, razón por la cual considera la incorporación de medidas género-específicas a la formulación de planes de movilidad (output 2.1.1) y estudios de factibilidad para pilotos (2.1.2).

Queda pendiente desarrollar elementos relacionados con género y eficiencia energética en edificios y servicios públicos.

El PRODOC desarrolla un plan indicativo para transversalizar del enfoque de género en los diferentes componentes del proyecto, y asigna recursos para contratar especialistas en género para fortalecer esta transversalización.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnexG_GenderAnalysisandActionPlan_5506_108">AnnexG_GenderAnalysisandActionPlan_5506_108</a> ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnexG_GenderAnalysisandActionPlan_5506_108">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnexG_GenderAnalysisandActionPlan_5506_108.docx</a> )	nancy.argueta@undp.org	7/14/2020 12:16:00 AM

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

- 3: *Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)
- 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

#### Evidence:

El proyecto apoya de forma directa intervenciones para lograr un desarrollo sostenible bajo en emisiones. Para ello, integra intervenciones en los niveles institucionales (marco de políticas públicas), así como la puesta en marcha de acciones que mejoren la calidad de los servicios públicos de transporte y pilotos para fomentar la eficiencia energética a nivel local.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of reports, coordination of events, trainings, workshops, meetings, conferences and/or communication materials and information dissemination. [if yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, provide the reason for the exemption in the evidence section.]

- Yes
- No
- SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)
  - 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
  - 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
  - 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
  - 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
  - 5: Global/regional projects with no country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
  - 6: UNDP acting as Administrative Agent

**Evidence:**

El SESP del proyecto arroja riesgo alto en los principios de derechos humanos y equidad de género/em poderamiento de las mujeres.

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Risk Category	Risk Requirements	Document Status	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/181002_AnnexE_UND_PSES_P_EI_Salvador_PIMS5462_final_signed_5506_1100 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/181002_AnnexE_UND_PSES_P_EI_Salvador_PIMS5462_final_signed_5506_1100.pdf)">181002_AnnexE_UND_PSES_P_EI_Salvador_PIMS5462_final_signed_5506_1100 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/181002_AnnexE_UND_PSES_P_EI_Salvador_PIMS5462_final_signed_5506_1100.pdf)</a>	High	Human Rights; Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	Final	nancy.argueta@undp.org	7/14/2020 12:26:00 AM

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: **Satisfactory**

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

**Evidence:**

El proyecto cuenta con un adecuado marco de resultados. Existen oportunidades para afinar líneas bases y mejorar la definición y nivel de desagregación de algunos indicadores. Algunos de estos elementos serán abordados en el taller de arranque del proyecto.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

**Evidence:**

El proyecto define claramente su estructura, identifica las instituciones específicas que la integran así como sus principales roles y funciones. No obstante, no asigna aún a las personas específicas que desarrollarán estos roles.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Structure_5506_112 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Structure_5506_112.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Structure_5506_112.docx</a> )	nancy.argueta@undp.org	7/14/2020 12:47:00 AM

13. Have the project risks been identified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

- 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)
- 2: *Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk log based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.*
- 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

**Evidence:**

El proyecto registra riesgos de gobernanza, financieros, fiduciarios y de sostenibilidad, los cuales han sido documentados en el registro de riesgos, con sus respectivas medidas de mitigación.

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Risklog_5506_113.docx">Risklog_5506_113 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Risklog_5506_113.docx)</a>	nancy.argueta@undp.org	7/14/2020 12:57:00 AM

Efficient

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include, for example:

- i) Using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available.
- ii) Using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions.
- iii) Through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
- iv) Sharing resources or coordinating delivery with other projects.
- v) Using innovative approaches and technologies to reduce the cost of service delivery or other types of interventions.

- Yes
- No

#### Evidence:

El proyecto contempla medidas para asegurar la eficiencia en el uso de los recursos, principalmente basadas en un enfoque de administración de portafolio, afin de lograr sinergias entre las intervenciones. En el marco de la pandemia COVID-19, y aunque no detallado de forma explícita en el PRODOC, se están evaluando alternativas para desarrollar un taller de arranque conjunto para el portafolio verde, con proyectos financiados con fondos verticales y otros donantes. Se espera esto genere eficiencia y una mayor incidencia de la agenda climática.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- 3: *The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.*
- 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

#### Evidence:

El proyecto dispone de un presupuesto multi-anual en USD que se encuentra financiado con fondos GE F. En adición, cuenta con planes de cofinanciamiento que han sido respaldados por instituciones públicas. El presupuesto desglosa los costos asociados a las actividades de monitoreo y evaluación, que ya están cubiertos con los fondos del proyectos.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

16. Is the Country Office / Regional Hub / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?



- 3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)
- 2: *The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.*
- 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

**Evidence:**

El presupuesto cubre parcialmente la recuperación de costos del proyecto.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

**Effective**

**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

17. Have targeted groups been engaged in the design of the project?

- 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- 2: *Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.*
- 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

El proyecto ha realizado ciertas consultas con grupos metas identificados en el plano institucional nacional y local.

No obstante, no presenta evidencia de haber realizado consultas con grupos vulnerables, discriminados o marginalizados.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- Yes
- No

**Evidence:**

El proyecto considera un componente de monitoreo y evaluación y dispone de recursos asignados para una evaluación de medio término, que permitiría, caso se estime conveniente, corregir el curso de las actividades.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- Yes
- No

**Evidence:**

GEN2

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

**Sustainability & National Ownership****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

20. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?

- 3: National partners (or regional/global partners for regional and global projects) have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
- 2: *The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.*
- 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

**Evidence:**

El proyecto fue desarrollado por PNUD El Salvador, en estrecha colaboración con el Centro Regional, y en consulta con múltiples actores nacionales, entre los cuales se incluyen el CNE, diferentes carteras de Estado (MARN, MOPTVDU, Ministerio de Hacienda, entre otras), representantes de municipalidades del AMSS, sector académico, ONG y organizaciones de la sociedad civil. El Anexo F del proyecto identifica aquellos actores que participaron en procesos de consultas en la fase de diseño en años previos, así como el plan para su involucramiento en fases posteriores de implementación y evaluación del proyecto. De igual manera, diversas instituciones expresaron en 2018 su compromiso a través de cartas de co-financiamiento para el proyecto (MARN, MOP TVDU, OPAMSS y Alcaldía de Santa Tecla).

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/181002_AnnexF_StakeholderEngagementPlan_ElSalvador_5506_120">181002_AnnexF_StakeholderEngagementPlan_ElSalvador_5506_120</a> ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/181002_AnnexF_StakeholderEngagementPlan_ElSalvador_5506_120">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/181002_AnnexF_StakeholderEngagementPlan_ElSalvador_5506_120</a> .docx)	nancy.argueta@undp.org	7/13/2020 8:07:00 PM

21. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.
- 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.
- 1: *Capacity assessments have not been carried out.*
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

Se tiene prevista la evaluación de capacidades del CNE, la cual se ha postergado por motivo de la pandemia COVID-19.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

22. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.) to the extent possible?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

El proyecto se implementará bajo la modalidad NIM (Implementación Nacional). El socio definido para la implementación es el Consejo Nacional de Energía (CNE), quien tiene bajo su responsabilidad el diseño y puesta en marcha del Plan Nacional de Energía. La Junta Directiva del CNE integra diversos actores nacionales, de los cuales se espera una respuesta coordinada para asegurar la adecuada ejecución de las acciones del proyectos.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

23. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- Yes
- No

**Evidence:**

El proyecto contempla el diseño y puesta en marcha de pilotos demostrativos de inversión en movilidad baja en emisiones a nivel municipal, con la expectativa de que sean escalados. Para ello, considera acciones de seguimiento, documentación de lecciones aprendidas, evaluación y comunicaciones, así como la gestión de recursos para la puesta en marcha de estos pilotos en municipalidades seleccionadas.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**QA Summary/LPAC Comments**